14 July 1955

ADMINISTRAL LATER OF SCHOOLING BUT HOT REPORTED BOTH

SUBJECT: Comment on 6 July Talks Between Secretary Pulles and Krishna Secon

- 1. Secretary Dulles stressed the following points in his conversations with Wrishma Memons
 - 2. The use of force cannot achieve peace in the Fer East and force will be met with force.
 - b. The US not only will not, it cannot now bring about the evacuation of Quency and Mateu. The US can no more discuss the evacuation of Mateu and Guency (with the Communists) than Menon could discuss an evacuation of the Communists from the mainland.
 - c. The problem of the unification of China is similar to that of Korea, Cermany, and Indochina and the US opposes the use of force in any of these situations.
 - d. The present situation should be accepted. There is now no solution to the basic problem except wer.

 Time and time alone is the answer.
 - chinese Communists on marginal matters, but will not negotiate behind the backs of the Chinese Mationalists for the evacuation of Quemoy and Mateu.
 - f. It is entirely within the ability of Communist China, within the next few years, to achieve resognition by the US and membership in the UN; but only if they shange their present manner of action.

/3
DOCUMENT NO.
NO CHANGE IN CLASS. [3]
A POLACCIETO
CLASS, CHANGED TO: TS S C
NEXT REVIEW DATE:
110 70.2
AUTH: PIPTOR REVIEWER: 372044
DATE

- g. The US will not bargain for the release of US prisoners. It is not necessary that US prisoners be released in order for US-China talks to take place but conversations would proceed in a much more favorable atmosphere after the prisoners are released.
- 2. We are unable to determine how faithfully and fully Memon will report the Secretary's observations to Chou En-lai. Since India appears disposed to overlook basic insues in its efforts to promote co-existence and since Memon probably desires to continue playing the role of an intermediary, he may emphasize those points which indicate a US willingness to negotiate. On the other hand, in his discussions with UK leaders and with Mehra, Memon may convey the tenor of the Secretary's remarks in such a way as to make the UE seem responsible for a failure to resolve the offshore island issue.
- 3. In any event, it would appear that Menon could not fail to give Chou the following general interpretation of the US positions. The US will probably respond with force to a Communist attempt to seize the offshore islands. The US is not prepared to negotiate a Mationalist withdrawal of the islands at this time. The only course short of war is for Peiping to accept the status quo, renounce the use of force, and hope that in time the situation will work itself out to the satisfaction of all concerned. If Communist China renounces the use of force and makes other undefined changes in its policies and attitudes, the US may even extend recognition and permit China's entry into the UN. The US is willing to enter into direct conversations with Communist China at Geneva or some other legation on marginal matters not directly concerned with the Taiwan straits issue.
- significantly Pelping's present estimate of US intentions or Pelping's courses of action. The Secretary's remarks are consistent with and similar to the impact of the President's message to Congress at the time of the Joint Resolution on Taiwan, i.e., they hold out to Communist China the prospect of an eventual evacuation of the effshore islands provided Psiring will remounce the use of

force in the Taiwen area. It may be that the Secretary's remarks place the prospect of an evacuation further in the future than Peiping had been led previously to believe was the case.

- S. We believe that Peiping has never had much faith in the US willingness to negotiate the evacuation of the offshore islands and even less faith in the chances for a satisfactory peaceful disposition of Taiwan itself. Peiping's views of our intentions are almost certainly more heavily influenced by its basic darxist outlook and by US military actions and dispositions in the Taiwan erea than by US statements. The reinforcement of Quessoy and Matsu with US assistance over the past several months, and the step-up in US activities on Taiwan have almost certainly been viewed in Peiping as indications of a US intent to centimus support for the entire Mationalist position.
- 6. The Communists have continued their rapid air build-up in Nest China throughout the lull in tensions in the Taiwan area. We take this as evidence that they place little faith in the prospect of a negotiated solution except under conditions of military and psychological pressure on the US.
- 7. The impact of the Henon-Dulles talks on Hehru and on UK officials -- assuming that the substance is circulated by Menon -will depend, of course, upon the twist Menon puts on the Secretary's remarks. From his comments to Assistant Secretary Allem. it would appear that Menon falt the Secretary had given him nothing to take to Fedging that would provide the besis for further progress along the path of conciliation. Memon may describe the Secretary's position as being entirely negative. Even so, we do not believe that such an expression of views by Fenon will have any significant effect in the UK where the US position is wall known and fairly well understood. Nebru and other Asian leaders would probably be disappointed that the US had not offered a more substantial basis for negotiations with Peiping. However, this would not lead to any distinction in their efforts to premote negotiations or in their basic policies. Moreover. Nebru may be impressed by the Secretary's implied assurances that Communist China could gain certain of its objectives if it too renounced force and accepted the status quo.

FOR THE BOARD OF MATICIAL BUTTALTISE

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

O/NE: (app. by Bd, 14 July)
Orig and 3 - DDI

Acting Assistant Director Fational Setimates

FE R. Rm. AD/NE